PATENT UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket Number: 99-054

PSC/yv

In re application of:

John Robert Patterson, et al.

Serial No:

09/661,816

Group Art Unit: 1713

Filed:

September 14, 2000

Examiner: R. Harlan

COPY OF PAPERS

For:

CHLORINATED VINYL RESIN/CELLULOSIC BLENDS:

COMPOSITIONS, PROCESSES, COMPOSITES AND ARTICLES OF

MANUFACTURE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

JUL 2 3 2002

TC 1700

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Sir:

I hereby certify that the following correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on the date indicated next to my signature below:

> Response To Restriction Requirement Under 35 USC 121 Return Receipt Postcard

Yutte Viglanese Signature

teorney Docket No: DN 99-054 1 5 2002

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

John Robert Patterson, et al.

Serial No.: 09/661,816

Filed: September 14, 2000

Group Art Unit: 1713

Examiner: R. Harlan

Response to Paper No: 8

For: CHLORINATED VINYL RESIN/CELLULOSIC BLENDS:

COMPOSITIONS, PROCESSES, COMPOSITES AND ARTICLES OF MANUFACTURE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

COPY OF PAPERS ORIGINALLY FILED

Response to Restriction Requirement Under 35 USO

This is a response to the Official Action mailed by the US Patent Office on June 27, 2002. In that Action, a three-way restriction requirement was made. Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement.

Notwithstanding the traversal, Applicants provisionally elect to prosecute the claims of Group II (i.e., claims 10-20).

Regarding Applicants' traversal, it is respectfully submitted that, since there is a common thread running through all three groups of claims, the claims of Groups I and III should be considered with those of Group II. Specifically, when reviewing the patentability of the claims from any of the groups, the same art would need to be considered. Therefore, by dividing up the claims, the searching burden on the Patent Office will increase.